

Date: Mon, 8 Nov 93 04:30:10 PST
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #427
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Mon, 8 Nov 93 Volume 93 : Issue 427

Today's Topics:

 Homonausedated (was: GAY & QST)
 What if Novice/Tech+ got a 80m phone subband?

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 5 Nov 93 23:16:24 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!paladin.american.edu!gatech!
concert!duke!wolves!psybbs!fredmail@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Homonausedated (was: GAY & QST)
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

on <Oct 31 19:31>, Jeff Herman to All said:

JH> necessary from that type of lifestyle. And the rest of us
JH> will have to foot the bill for their care. Research money
JH> that should be going to help prevent passive diseases
JH> (cancer, m.s., heart disease, et cetera) will instead have

I suppose the **75%** of American hemophiliacs under the age
of 25 are also guilty of something?

JH> to be split to help prevent the active disease associated
JH> with this lifestyle you so wish to partake in. Have sex with
JH> whoever you want, Jon, but what- ever disease you get from
JH> it, please pay your own medical bills.

Jon didn't (as I recall it) say anything of the kind about his own sexual preferences. Not that it matters.

Date: 5 Nov 93 23:26:38 GMT
From: haven.umd.edu!news.umbc.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!howland.reston.ans.net!gatech!news-feed-2.peachnet.edu!concert!duke!wolves!psybbs!fredmail@ames.arpa
Subject: What if Novice/Tech+ got a 80m phone subband?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

on <Nov 01 01:28>, Jeremy L. Utley to All said:

>>| Anyone who cannot cope with Morse code is not fully human. At
>> best | | he is a tolerable subhuman who has learned to wear shoes,
>> bathe, and | | not make messes in the house.

JLU> I, as a licensed No-Code operator, take serious offense at this
JLU> remark. Are you saying that since I have trouble learning
JLU> Morse, I am somehow not adequate as a human being??? That

I *think* the originator of that was operating tongue-in-cheek, paraphrasing one R. A. Heinlein, a hack writer. I certainly hope so, anyway.

Maybe we're just lucky here in the Raleigh, NC, area, but the ONLY place I've ever seen offense caused to hams because of their license class is on the various BBS nets. On the air, the great majority of us are glad to have new fellow hams, and we don't much care what your license class is. We encourage our friends to upgrade, but we DON'T sneer or toss flames.

Anyway, with a no-code license, you can work moonbounce, all the way-cool satellites, ATV, packet, and all SORTS of nifty stuff. What in the world is there to sneer about THAT? I happen to be in the hobby mostly for the fun of HF DXing ... but there are many modes in my father's mansion. All may have fun, bigtime. Right? :-)

Vy 73 de Ken, AC4RD, in Raleigh, NC

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #427

